and Luiz C. Marigo who kindly provided help in the field; Helmut Sick for his guidance and encouragement over the years; Nigel Collar who gave me the incentive to write this paper; John Roe for help with the English version of the text; Zelia Wolker and Leandro Gomes for assistance with the computer; and, of course, Cacilda and Fernando Carvalho for their well-informed hunch.

References:

Burmeister, H. von 1853. Reise nach Brasilien, durch die Provinzen von Rio de Janeiro und Minas Gerais . . . Reimer.

Burmeister, H. von 1856. Systematische Uebersicht der thiere Brasiliens . . . Vol. 2. Reimer.

Goeldi, E. 1894. As Aves do Brasil, part 1, Alves & C, Rio de Janeiro.

Hartlaub, G. 1852. Descriptions de quelques nouvelles especes d'oiseaux. Rev. Mag. Zool. (2nd ser.) 4: 3-9.

Ihering, H. von 1900. Aves observadas em Cantagallo e Nova Friburgo. Rev. Mus. Paul. 4: 149-164.

King, W. B. 1978-1979. Red Data Book, 2, Aves. 2nd Ed. Intern. Union Conserv. Nat. and Nat. Resources, Morges, Switzerland.
Meyer de Schauensee, R. 1966. The Species of Birds of South America and their Distribution.

Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia.

Peters, J. L. 1951. Check-list of Birds of the World, Vol. VII. Harvard Univ. Press.

Pinto, O. M. O. 1978. Novo Catálogo das Aves do Brasil. Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, São Paulo. Ruschi, A. 1953. Lista das Aves do Éspírito Santo. Bol. Mus. Biol. Prof. Mello Leitão, ser. Zool. 11: 1–21.

Sclater, P. L. 1858. Synopsis of the American ant-birds (Formicariidae). Part II. Proc. Zool. Soc. London: 232-254.

Sclater, P. L. 1890. Catalogue of Birds in the British Museum, 15: 234. Scott, D. A. & Brooke, M. 1985. The Endangered Avifauna of South-eastern Brazil: a report on the BOU/WWF Expeditions of 1980/81 and 1981/82. Pp. 115-139 in A. W. Diamond & T. W. Lovejoy (eds.) 'Conservation of Tropical Forest Birds'. ICBP (Tech. Publ. 4).

Sick, H. 1985. Ornitologia Brasileira, Uma introdução. Vol. 2, Ed. Universidade de

Snow, D. W. 1982. The Cotingas. Brit. Mus. (Nat. Hist.) London.

Stresemann, E. 1951. Die Entwicklung Der Ornithologie von Aristoteles bis zur Gegenwalt. F. W. Peters.

Vincent, J. 1967. Red Data Book, 2: Aves. Intern. Union Conserv. Nat. and Nat. Res., Morges, Switzerland.

Address: Fernando Pacheco, Rua Djalma Dutra, 203, apt. 202, CEP 20751, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.

© British Ornithologists' Club 1988

Batis minima (Verreaux) new for Cameroon

by C. Erard & P. R. Colston

Received 7 March 1988

In the course of studies conducted by C.E. on the systematics, ecology and behaviour of Afrotropical forest flycatchers, especially Platysteirinae, several Batis spp. were examined in the collections of the British Museum (Natural History), Tring. It was found that included amongst Batis poensis Alexander (sensu lato) (including occultus) were 4 specimens of Batis minima (Verreaux), collected by G. L. Bates, from Bitye, River Ja, Cameroon (3°10'N, 12°20'E): 1 3, 5 March 1907, 1 3 and 1 2, 1 May 1914, and 1 σ , 23 May 1924. These details do not seem to have been published before.

The female, which is an adult, had been annotated as 'young' on its label, most probably in reference to its grey breast-band. This character is typical of both females and young of *Batis minima* (see Erard 1975), while females and immatures of *B. poensis* and *B. occultus* Lawson 1984, have a

chestnut pectoral band.

Males of *B. minima* can best be distinguished from males of *B. poensis* by their much blacker mantle and head, their very indistinct white supraloral and superciliary lines, and their somewhat smaller size. It seems to be almost a rule in *Batis* that females belonging to different species are more distinct than males. In the present case, females, besides showing the same differences as males, are best identified by their grey (not chestnut) breastband as noted above (for more detailed descriptions see Erard 1987).

This is apparently the first record of *B. minima* from Cameroon (see Louette 1981). When Serle & Morel (1977) state that *minima* occurs in Cameroon, they are following White (1963) in considering *poensis* and *minima* (+ituriensis) as conspecific. In Cameroon, *Batis poensis* (occultus) is known from Ebolowa (Chappuis in Louette 1981), but may occur elsewhere, possibly, indeed, at Bitye, though specimens are lacking. It is also known in north Gabon from various places in Woleu N'Tem (c. 1°30'N, 11°30'E) (Chappuis & Erard, unpubl.) and in northeast Cameroon (Brosset & Erard 1977, 1986).

We may recall here that *B. minima* is an uncommon bird of old second growth, not a primary forest species, and that *B. poensis* inhabits manmade habitats. In southern Cameroon, as well as in Gabon, it favours the vicinity of villages, living in high tree-tops, where it is not at all rare; but it can be difficult to detect unless one knows its calls (for ecological details

see Erard 1987).

Acknowledgements

The work of C. Erard in various US museums (New York, Chicago, Washington) was possible thanks to a grant from the Chapman Fund of the American Museum of Natural History.

References:

Bates, G. L. 1930. *Handbook of the Birds of West Africa*. John Bale, Sons & Danielsson. Brosset, A. & Erard, C. 1977. New faunistic records from Gabon. *Bull. Brit. Orn. Cl.* 97: 125–132.

Brosset, A. & Erard, C. 1986. Les Oiseaux des Régions Forestières du Nord-Est du Gabon. Vol. 1: Ecologie et comportement des espèces. Société Nationale de Protection de la Nature.

Erard, C. 1975. Affinités de Batis minima (J. et E. Verreaux) et de B. ituriensis Chapin.

L'Oiseau et R.F.O. 45: 235-240.

Erard, C. 1987. Ecologie et comportement des gobe-mouches (Aves: Muscicapinae, Platy-steirinae, Monarchinae) du Nord-Est du Gabon. Vol. 1: Morphologie des espèces et organisation du peuplement. Mém. Mus. Nat. Hist. Nat. A. Zool. 138: 1–256. Lawson, W. J. 1984. The West African mainland forest dwelling population of Batis; a new

species. Bull. Brit. Orn. Cl. 104: 144-146.

Louette, M. 1981. The Birds of Cameroon: an annotated check list. Brussels: Paleis der Academiën.

Serle, W. & Morel, G. J. 1977. A Field Guide to the Birds of West Africa. Collins.

White, C. M. N. 1963. A Revised Check List of African Flycatchers ... Waxbills. Government Printer, Lusaka.

Address: C. Erard, Zoologie (Mammifères et Oiseaux), Muséeum national d'Histoire naturelle, 55 rue de Buffon, 75005 Paris, France. P. R. Colston, Sub-dept of Ornithology, British Museum (Natural History), Tring, Herts HP23 6AP.

© British Ornithologists' Club 1988

Rediscovery of Malimbus ibadanensis Elgood, 1958

by 7. H. Elgood

Received 8 March 1988

It is good to report that J. S. Ash, with P. Hall and 2 others had an undoubted sighting in secondary forest in November 1987 of a small family party of Malimbus ibadanensis, the first record for c.10 years. The species is entered in the Africa Red Data Book as endangered.

Ash has drawn my attention to difficulties that he thinks may have contributed to the failure of recent attempts to find this species at or near Ibadan, Nigeria. He points out the serious inadequacy of the original illustration of the \mathcal{P} (Elgood 1958), showing a narrow red throat bridle instead of a fairly broad bib, and Ash thinks he may have mistaken \$\omega\$ *ibadanensis* for β M. scutatus by looking for the erroneously red bridle. If the differentiating red vent feathering of & M. scutatus is concealed, being similar in size and in the amount and arrangement of red on the head and throat, confusion with \mathcal{L} ibadanensis may well occur. Another possible confusion arises because the dibadanensis is very similar to that of allopatric cassini, though the \mathcal{L} cassini is entirely black and I have no doubt that the late Sir Hugo Marshall (in Bannerman 1949) mistook ibadanensis for cassini when he claimed that he saw cassini at Ibadan. Against these pitfalls, in my experience ibadanensis is usually encountered in pairs or family parties and the very conspicuous 3, with red apron, immediately draws one's attention.

However, Ash has a valid point when he states he was mislead by the 1958 illustration of ♀ ibadanensis and a more accurate representation appears here in Fig. 1b. That the original illustration was misleading had been realized when the proof reached me in Ibadan too late for alteration, but the text was amended to state that "other females may prove to have a rather wider band of red". Later (Elgood 1975) I was able to confirm that